HELLMAN YATES & TISDALE

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

THOMAS S. TISDALE DIRECT VOICE 843 414-9757 TST@HELLMANYATES.COM HELLMAN YATES & TISDALE, PA 145 KING STREET, SUITE 102 CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29401 V 843 266-9099 F 843 266-9188

January 13, 2014

Via FedEx (tracking no.: 797614723678)

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals 1015 Sumter Street Columbia, S.C. 29201

RE: The Protestant Episcopal Church in the Diocese of South Carolina, et al. v. The Episcopal Church, et al., Case No. 2013-CP-18-00013

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed for filing is one (1) original and one (1) copy of the notice of appeal in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed are the following:

- (1) Proof of service of the notice of appeal the respondents;
- (2) A copy of the order which is to be challenged on appeal; and
- (3) A filing fee of \$100.00.

Please return one, file-stamped copy to our office. I have enclosed a stamped, self-addressed envelope for your convenience.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at your convenience.

With best wishes, I am

Very truly yours,

Thomas S. Tisdale

mud hour

TST:asb w/Enclosures

cc: The Honorable Cheryl L. Graham, Clerk of Court, Dorchester County (via U.S. Mail) All Counsel of Record (via U.S. Mail)

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY Court of Common Pleas

Diane S. Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2013-CP-18-00013

The Protestant Episcopal Church In The Diocese Of South Carolina, et al., Respondents,

V.

The Episcopal Church, et al.

Appellants.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Episcopal Church in South Carolina appeals the order of the Honorable Diane S. Goodstein entered December 31, 2013, denying a motion for reconsideration of the Court's order entered November 18, 2013. Copies of those orders are attached hereto.

January 13, 2014

HELLMAN YATES & TISDALE, PA

Thomas S. Tisdale tst@hellmanyates.com

SC Bar # 005584

Jason S. Smith

js@hellmanyates.com

SC Bar # 80700

145 King Street, Suite 102

Charleston, SC 29401

(843) 266-9099

(843) 266-9188 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN SOUTH CAROLINA

All Other Counsel of Record:

AMANDA BAILEY, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; SAINT LUKE'S CHURCH, HILTON HEAD; AND THE TRUSTEES OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

McNair Law Firm Post Office Box 336 Myrtle Beach, S.C. 29578 (843) 444-1107

DAVID BOOTH BEERS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH Goodwin Procter LLP 901 New York Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 346-4000

SAUNDERS M. BRIDGES, JR., ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. JOHN'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF FLORENCE, S.C. Aiken Bridges Elliott Tyler & Saleby Post Office Drawer 1931 Florence, S.C. 29503 (843) 669-8787

WILLIAM A BRYAN, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHURCH OF THE RESURRECTION, SURFSIDE Bryan & Haar
Post Office Box 14860
Surfside Beach, S.C. 29587
(843) 238-3461

C. PIERCE CAMPBELL, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS ALL SAINTS PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, INC.; CHURCH OF THE HOLY CROSS; AND ST. BARTHOLOMEWS EPISCOPAL CHURCH
Turner, Padget, Graham & Laney
Post Office Box 5478
Florence, S.C. 29501
(843) 662-9008

DAVID SPENCE COX, ESO.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE TRUSTEES OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Womble, Carlyle, Sandridge & Rice, LLP Post Office Box 999 Charleston, S.C. 29402 (843) 722-3400

THOMAS CHRISTIAN DAVIS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHRIST ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Harvey & Battey, PA

1001 Craven Street

Beaufort, S.C. 29901

(843) 524-3109

DAVID L. DEVANE, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE CHURCH OF ST. LUKE AND ST., PAUL, RADCLIFFBORO

110 N. Main Street

Summerville, S.C. 29483

(843) 285-7100

BESS JONES DURANT, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHURCH OF THE HOLY COMFORTER

Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC

Post Office Box 11449

Columbia, S.C. 29211

(803) 929-1400

HARRY R. EASTERLING, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS ST. DAVID'S CHURCH AND ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF BENNETTSVILLE, INC.

Post Office Drawer 655

Bennettsville, S.C. 29512-0655

(843) 479-2878

MARK V. EVANS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. JAMES' CHURCH, JAMES ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA

147 Wappoo Creek Drive, Suite 202

Charleston, S.C. 29412

JOHN G. FRAMPTON, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE VESTRY AND WARDENS OF ST. PAUL'S CHURCH, SUMMERVILLE

Chellis & Frampton

Post Office Box 430

Summerville, S.C. 29483

(843) 871-7765

W. FOSTER GAILLARD, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, OF THE PARISH OF SAINT PHILIP, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP

Post Office Box 999

Charleston, S.C. 29402

(843) 722-3400

EMILY R. GIFFORD, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. MATTHEW

Richardson, Plowden & Robinson, P.A.

1900 Barnwell Street

Columbia, S.C. 29201

(803) 576-3717

HENRIETTA U. GOLDING, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; SAINT LUKE'S CHURCH, HILTON HEAD; AND THE TRUSTEES OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

McNair Law Firm

Post Office Box 336

Myrtle Beach, S.C. 29578

(843) 444-1107

HENRY E. GRIMBALL, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT MICHAEL, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP

Post Office Box 999

Charleston, S.C. 29402

(843) 722-3400

EDWARD P. GUERARD, JR., ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF VESTRY AND CHURCH-WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF CHRIST CHURCH

Post Office Box 31924

Charleston, S.C. 29417

(843) 852-4530

PALMER C. HAMILTON, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN SOUTH CAROLINA

JONES WALKER LLP

254 State Street

Mobile, A.L. 36603

(251) 432-1414

ALLAN R. HOLMES, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Gibbs & Holmes

171 Church Street, Suite 110

Charleston, S.C. 29402

(843) 722-0033

ROBERT R. HORGER, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHURCH OF THE REDEEMER

Horger, Barnwell & Reid, LLP

P.O. Drawer 329

Orangeburg, S.C. 29116

(803) 531-3000

OANA D. JOHNSON, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT AND THE ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT LAND TRUST

George J. Kefalos, P.A.

46A State Street

Charleston, S.C. 29401

(843) 722-6612

GEORGE J. KEFALOS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT AND THE ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT LAND TRUST

George J. Kefalos, P.A.

46A State Street

Charleston, S.C. 29401

(843) 722-6612

MARY E. KOSTEL, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Goodwin Procter LLP

901 New York Avenue N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 346-4000

ALBERT A. LACOUR, III, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR OLD SAINT ANDREWS PARISH CHURCH

Clawson & Staubes

126 Seven Farms Drive, Suite 200

Charleston, S.C. 29492

JAMES KENT LEHMAN, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF TRINITY CHURCH OF MYRTLE BEACH

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP

Post Office Box 11070

Columbia, S.C. 29211

(803) 799-2000

GEORGE A. LEMAISTRE, JR., ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN SOUTH CAROLINA
JONES WALKER LLP
254 State Street
Mobile, A.L. 36603
(251) 432-1414

TIMOTHY O. LEWIS, ESQ. ATTORNEY FOR THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH Gibbs & Holmes 171 Church Street, Suite 110 Charleston, S.C. 29402 (843) 722-0033

E. HOPE LUMPKIN, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE VESTRY AND WARDENS OF ST. PAUL'S CHURCH, SUMMERVILLE Shelbourne Law Firm 131 East Richardson Avenue Summerville, S.C. 29483 (843) 871-2210

SUSAN PARDUE MACDONALD, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF TRINITY CHURCH OF MYRTLE BEACH
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
Post Office Box 3939

Myrtle Beach, S.C. 29578
(843) 448-3500

FRANCIS MARION MACK, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. MATTHEW
Richardson, Plowden & Robinson, P.A.
1900 Barnwell Street

1900 Barnwell Street Columbia, S.C. 29201 (803) 576-3717

DAVID B. MARVEL, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE CHURCH OF ST. LUKE AND ST., PAUL, RADCLIFFBORO Prenner Marvel, P.A.
636 King Street
Charleston, S.C. 29403
(843) 722-7250

I. KEITH MCCARTY, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHRIST ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH McCarty Law Firm, LLC

Post Office Box 30055

Post Office Box 30055

Charleston, S.C. 29417

(843) 793-1272

STEVEN SMITH MCKENZIE, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE CHURCH OF THE EPIPHANY (EPISCOPAL) AND ST. MATTHIAS EPISCOPAL CHURCH, INC.

Coffey, Chandler & Kent, P.A.

2 North Brook Street

Manning, S.C. 29102

(803) 435-8847

LAWRENCE B. ORR, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS ST. JOHN'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF FLORENCE, S.C. AND SAINT MATTHEWS CHURCH

Orr Elmore & Ervin, LLC

Post Office Box 2527

Florence, S.C. 29503

(843) 667-6613

HARRY A. OXNER, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS CHRIST THE KING, WACCAMAW AND THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF PRINCE GEORGE WINYAH

Oxner & Stacy

235 Church Street

Georgetown, S.C. 29940

(843) 527-8020

G. MARK PHILLIPS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT PHILIP, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP

Post Office Box 1806

Charleston, S.C. 29402

ANDREW S. PLATTE, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE CHURCH OF OUR SAVIOUR OF THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; CHURCH OF THE CROSS, INC. AND CHURCH OF THE CROSS DECLARATION OF TRUST; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT MICHAEL, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND SAINT MICHAEL'S CHURCH DECLARATION OF TRUST; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT PHILIP, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; ST. DAVID'S CHURCH; THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. HELENA AND THE PARISH CHURCH OF ST. HELENA TRUST; THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF ST. JUDE'S CHURCH OF WALTERBORO; TRINITY EPISCOPAL CHURCH, EDISTO ISLAND; AND VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. JOHN'S CHARLESTON COUNTY

Speights & Runyan 2015 Boundary Street, Suite 239 Beaufort, S.C. 29902 (803) 943-4444

C. ALAN RUNYAN, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE CHURCH OF OUR SAVIOUR OF THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE CHURCH OF ST. LUKE AND ST., PAUL, RADCLIFFBORO; CHURCH OF THE CROSS, INC. AND CHURCH OF THE CROSS DECLARATION OF TRUST; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT MICHAEL, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND SAINT MICHAEL'S CHURCH DECLARATION OF TRUST; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH, THE PARISH OF SAINT PHILIP, IN CHARLESTON, IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; ST. DAVID'S CHURCH; TRINITY EPISCOPAL CHURCH, EDISTO ISLAND; THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF ST. JUDE'S CHURCH OF WALTERBORO; THE VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. HELENA AND THE PARISH CHURCH OF ST. HELENA TRUST; AND VESTRY AND CHURCH WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF ST. JOHN'S CHARLESTON COUNTY

Speights & Runyan 2015 Boundary Street, Suite 239 Beaufort, S.C. 29902 (803) 943-4444

WILLIAM A. SCOTT, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF HOLY TRINITY EPISCOPAL CHURCH
Rogers, Townsend & Thomas, PC
775 St. Andrews Boulevard
Charleston, S.C. 29407
(843) 556-5656

PETER BRANDT SHELBOURNE, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF THE VESTRY AND WARDENS OF ST. PAUL'S CHURCH, SUMMERVILLE
Shelbourne Law Firm
131 East Richardson Avenue
Summerville, S.C. 29483
(843) 871-2210

ROBERT S. SHELTON, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF CONWAY

The Bellamy Law Firm

Post Office Box 357

Myrtle Beach, S.C. 29578

(843) 448-2400

ALLAN POE SLOAN, III, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF VESTRY AND CHURCH-WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF CHRIST CHURCH

Pierce, Herns, Sloan & Wilson, LLC

Post Office Box 22437

Charleston, S.C. 29413

(843) 722-7733

THORNWELL F. SOWELL, III, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHURCH OF THE HOLY COMFORTER

Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC

Post Office Box 11449

Columbia, S.C. 29211

(803) 929-1400

DANE J. SOWINSKI, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF HOLY TRINITY EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Rogers, Townsend & Thomas, PC

775 St. Andrews Blvd.

Charleston, S.C. 29407

(843) 556-5656

STEPHEN A. SPITZ, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT AND THE ST. ANDREWS CHURCH-MT. PLEASANT LAND TRUST

1134 Clearspring Drive

Charleston, S.C. 29412

JOHN FURMAN WALL, III, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CHURCH OF THE GOOD SHEPHERD

140 Wando Reach Court

Mt. Pleasant, S.C. 29464

CHARLES H. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE TRUSTEES OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN SOUTH CAROLINA, A SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATE BODY

Williams & Williams

Post Office Box 1084

Orangeburg, S.C. 29116-1084

(803) 534-5218

JOHN B. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF TRINITY EPISCOPAL CHURCH, PINOPOLIS
Williams & Hulst, LLC
Post Office Box 1288
Moncks Corner, S.C. 29461
(843) 761-8232

JOSEPH C. WILSON IV, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF VESTRY AND CHURCH-WARDENS OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF THE PARISH OF CHRIST CHURCH

Pierce, Herns, Sloan & Wilson, LLC

Post Office Box 22437

Charleston, S.C. 29413

(843) 722-7733

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA **COUNTY OF DORCHESTER**

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

| IN THE COURT OF COMMO |)N PLEAS | CASE NUMBER | 2013CP1800013 | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Protestant Episcopal | Christ St. Paul's Episcopal | T. Lor | | |
| Church In The Diocese Of | Church | Episcopal Church | Protestant Epis.Ch. in | |
| South Carolina | Church | | the US of America | |
| Church Of The Cross, Inc | Church Of The Holy | Enimal ICI | | |
| and Church Of The Cross | Comforter | Episcopal Church in | | |
| Declaration | omiorici | South Carolina | | |
| Church Of The Redeemer | Saint Luke's Church, Hilton | | C 2 2 | |
| | Head | | 30 | |
| St. John's Episcopal | St. Matthias Episcopal | | ignoi g | |
| Church Of Florence, S. C. | Church, Inc | | 2000 | |
| Church Of St. Luke and St. | , 1110 | | 3 | |
| Paul, Radcliffeboro | | | 26 | |
| | PLAINTIFF(S) | | ======================================= | |
| | 1 = 1 (0) | Astonia Gara [7] Di i do | DEFENDANT(S) | |
| Submitted by: | | Attorney for: Plaintif | f Defendant | |
| | DISPOSITION TYPE | Self-Represented | d Litigant | |
| JURY VERDICT This action | 2 come before the | E (CHECK ONE) | | |
| DECISION BY THE COVE | n came before the court for a trial by j | jury. The issues have been tried an | nd a verdict rendered. | |
| A SECISION BY THE COUR | l. I his action came to trial as beset | ng before the court. The issues have | ve been tried or heard and a | |
| decision rendered. See Page ACTION DISMISSED (CHE | | | or notice at | |
| Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled | | 12(b), SCRCP; | 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); | |
| ACTION STEP ISSUED | - Carrier Control of the Control of | | ,,, | |
| ACTION STRICKEN (CHE) | $CK REASON$): \square Rule 40(j) SC | RCP; Bankruptcy; | | |
| modify arbitration award; | to right to restore to confirm, vacate | or Other: | | |
| DISPOSITION OF APPEAL | TO THE CIPCING | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Affirmed; Reversed: | TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHI | ECK APPLICABLE BOX): | | |
| Reversed; | Remanded; Other: | | | |
| NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESP | PONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER (| COURT TRIPING AS | | |
| CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN T | HIS APPEAL. | COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINIS | TRATIVE AGENCY OF THE | |
| IT IS ORDERED AND ADJU Motions to Reconsidered filed by Defende | DGED: See attached order: (form | al order to follow) M Statement of L | | |
| Motions to Reconsidered filed by Defenda | ant TECSC's Motion to Reconsider on 10 | 0/11/2013 and 11/25/2013 are hereby | lagment by the Court: Both | |
| | | MATION | defiled. | |
| This order ends does not e | nd the case | | | |
| Additional Information for the Clerk: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Complete this are to be a | INFORMATION FOR THE . | JUDGMENT INDEX | | |
| Complete this section below when there is no judgment information, in | The indoment affects title to week - | | MOUNT should be enrolled. If | |
| here is no judgment information, in Judgment in Favor of | TWILL IN ONE OF THE DOXES DO | elow. | | |
| (List name(s) below) | Judgment Against (List name(s) below) | Judgment Am | ount To be Enrolled | |
| | (Eist Hame(s) below) | (List am | ount(s) below) | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| familiachia i i i | | | | |
| f applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order: | | | | |
| | | , | | |
| That is a second | | | | |

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed

SCCA SCRCP Form 4C (Revised 3/2013)

| such as interest or additional taxable costs not available may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors a judgment details. Diane S. Goodstein | at the time the form and final order are submitted and researchers should refer to the official 2112 12/30/2013 | tted to the judge court order for | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Circuit Court Judge | Judge Code Date | _ | | | |
| For Clerk of Co | ourt Office Use Only | | | | |
| This judgment was entered on 12/30/2013, and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on 12/20/2013, to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows: | | | | | |
| SEE ATTACHED | | | | | |
| TTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S) | ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDAN | ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S) | | | |
| | Charge Graha | m) | | | |
| ourt Reporter: Melissa Singletary | Cheryl Graham - Clerk of Court | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1. | | | | | |
| This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | -0 | | | |
| | i and | _ ₽ | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| | | - | | | |

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF DORCHESTER

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE CASE NUMBER 2013CP1800013

| IN THE COURT OF COMMO | NDIFAC | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| IN THE COURT OF COMMO Protestant Episcopal | Christ St. Paul's Episcopal | Episcopa | l Church | Protestant Epis.Ch. in |
| Church In The Diocese Of | Church | Lpiscopu | Charen | the US of America |
| | Church | | | |
| South Carolina | Charact Of The Helm | Enicoone | l Church in | CERTIFIED 18 DANGERS |
| Church Of The Cross, Inc | Church Of The Holy | | | CHER |
| and Church Of The Cross | Comforter | South Ca | ronna | B & D 15 |
| Declaration | | | | रिल्डिं - त |
| Church Of The Redeemer | Saint Luke's Church, Hilton | | | 融、 。 |
| | Head | | | 79 S |
| St. John's Episcopal | St. Matthias Episcopal | | | 25 - |
| Church Of Florence, S. C. | Church, Inc | | | PH ". OT |
| Church Of St. Luke and St. | | | | F 3 5 |
| Paul, Radcliffeboro | | | | 4 1 |
| | PLAINTIFF(S) | | | DEFENDANT(S) |
| | | Attor | ney for: 🔲 Plai | ntiff Defendant |
| Submitted by: | | | Self-Represe | ented Litigant |
| | DISPOSITION TYP | E (CHECI | K ONE) | |
| | | | | ad and a wordist randored |
| | n came before the court for a trial by | | | |
| | RT. This action came to trial or hear | ing before th | e court. The issue | s have been tried or heard and a |
| decision rendered. See Pag | | | | |
| ACTION DISMISSED (CH | ECK REASON): | 12(b), SCR | CP; □ | Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); |
| Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settl | ed); | | | |
| ACTION STRICKEN (CH) | ECK REASON): | CRCP; | Bankruptcy; | |
| | et to right to restore to confirm, vacat | | Other: | |
| modify arbitration award; | , | | | |
| ☐ DISPOSITION OF APPEA | L TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CI | HECK APP | LICABLE BOX): | |
| ☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reverse | | | | |
| , | _ | | | |
| | SPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER | R COURT, TI | RIBUNAL, OR ADM | MINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE |
| CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN | | | | |
| IT IS ORDERED AND AD, | UDGED: ☑ See attached order; (fo | rmal order to | follow) 🔲 Statemen | t of Judgment by the Court: |
| | ORDER INFO | | | |
| This order ☐ ends ☒ does not | end the case. | | | |
| Additional Information for the Cler | k: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | INFORMATION FOR TH | E JUDGMI | ENT INDEX | |
| Complete this section below who | n the judgment affects title to rea | l or person | al property or if | any amount should be enrolled. |
| there is no judgment information | , indicate "N/A" in one of the boxe | s below. | | |
| Judgment in Favor of | Judgment Against | | | nt Amount To be Enrolled |
| (List name(s) below) | (List name(s) below) | | (I | ist amount(s) below) |
| (| | | | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge CPFORM4Cm

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

SCCA SCRCP Form 4C (Revised 3/2013)

may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details. Diane S. Goodstein 2112 11/18/2013 **Circuit Court Judge Judge Code** Date For Clerk of Court Office Use Only This judgment was entered on 11/18/13, and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on 11/18/13, to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows: SEE ATTACHED MAILING LIST ATTORNEY(\$) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S) ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S) 5 **Court Reporter** Cheryl Graham - Clerk of Court ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA |) | IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS |
|--|--------------------|--|
| |) | FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| COUNTY OF DORCHESTER |) | Case No. 2013-CP-18-00013 |
| The Protestant Episcopal Church In Diocese Of South Carolina; The Tru The Protestant Episcopal Church in Carolina, a South Carolina Corporat | istees of South | Dagger A R |
| et al., | |) |
| Plaintiffs, vs. | | ORDER DENYING THE DEFENDANT TECSC'S MOTION TO COMPEL DATED |
| The Episcopal Church (a/k/a, The | | SEPTEMBER 19, 2013 |
| Protestant Episcopal Church in the | |) · |
| United States of America); and The | | |
| Episcopal Church in South Carolina | l, |) |
| Defendants. | | |

By Motion dated September 19, 2013, the Defendant TECSC (hereinafter Defendant) sought production of documents from the Plaintiffs, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the Diocese of South Carolina and the Trustees of The Protestant Episcopal Church in South Carolina, (collectively hereinafter referenced as "the Plaintiffs"). The documents requested are the following:

11. Produce all correspondence and other communications, including emails, prior to November 17, 2012, between Bishop Mark J. Lawrence and C. Alan Runyan referring, relating to, concerning, or discussing the relationship between the Diocese of South Carolina and the Episcopal Church.

The Plaintiffs objected on the basis of attorney-client and work product privileges. A log identifying the requested information was attached to the objection.

Prior to the motion hearing, the Plaintiffs filed with this Court an Affidavit of C. Alan Runyan. According to Mr. Runyan's Affidavit, he is a South Carolina lawyer and is legal

counsel for the Plaintiffs. He was first contacted by the Plaintiffs in October 2009 and then retained as counsel in November 2009 "...to render legal counsel and advice with respect to potential litigation with the Defendant, The Episcopal Church." He then states that since October 2009 to the present, he has functioned in his capacity as legal counsel in his communications with his clients, the Plaintiffs. Mr. Runyan then identifies, in his Affidavit, the directors/officers of the Plaintiffs with whom he has communicated. Attached to his Affidavit is a Privilege Log which Mr. Runyan states contains the documents which are protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product privilege.

The Defendant TECSC's contention is that it is the client and that, as the client, it is entitled to the attorney-client information that existed before the disaffiliation between the Plaintiffs and the Defendant TEC. Counsel for the Defendant stated in oral arguments that "...we are entitled to Mr. Runyan's work for we were his clients...". At the hearing it was represented to the Court that the Defendant came into existence in the later part of January, 2013 as an unincorporated association. Defendant's counsel sent a letter to this Court dated September 27, 2013 which letter specifically stated that the Defendant is a South Carolina unincorporated association and as such has a right to sue and be sued.

The attorney-client privilege and the attorney-work product privilege have long been recognized in this State. "The privilege is based upon a public policy that the best interest of society is served by promoting a relationship between the attorney and the client whereby utmost confidence in the continuing secrecy of all confidential disclosures made by the client within the relationship is maintained. The privilege belongs to the client and, unless waived by him, survives even his death." The State vs. Doster, 276 S.C. 647, 248 S.E.2d 218, 219 (1981). The attorney-client privilege belongs to the client and not the attorney, and may be waived only by

the client. Tucker vs. Honda of South Carolina, Mfg., Inc., 354 S.C. 574, 582 S.C.2d 405 (2003).

As to corporations, it is well established that the attorney-client privilege attaches to corporations as well as to individuals. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n vs. Weintraub, et al., 471 U.S. 343, 348, 105 S.Ct. 1986 (1985). As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in Commodities Futures Trading Commission, supra, a corporation, as an inanimate entity, must act through its agents and normally the corporation's management is vested with the authority of exercising the privilege in a manner consistent with the fiduciary duty to act in the best interest on the corporation and not of themselves as individuals. Supra at 349. The U.S. Supreme Court went on to state

"...that when control of a corporation passes to new management, the authority to assert and waive the corporation's attorney-client privilege passes as well. New managers installed as a result of take over, merger, loss of confidence by shareholders, or simply normal succession, may waive the attorney-client privilege with respect to communications made by former officers and directors. Displaced managers may not assert the privileges over the wishes of current managers...". Supra.

In this action, the Defendant states that it is the client and as the client it has the right to documents subject to the privileges. However, the Plaintiffs are South Carolina Non-Profit Corporations and contend that the Defendant does not have standing to seek its documents subject to the privileges. In essence, the Defendant contends that even though it is now disaffiliated from the Plaintiffs, it still has a right, as having been a part of the Plaintiffs, to review the privileged documents. But the privilege belongs to the non-profit corporations, the Plaintiffs, and not to the persons who were formally associated with the Plaintiffs. In <u>Wilson vs. Preston</u>, 378 S.C. 348, 662 S.E.2d 580 (S.C. 2008), the South Carolina Supreme Court held that an individual council member cannot independently review attorney-client privileged documents

because the privilege belongs to the Council as a whole, only the Council as a whole is authorized to release the information. The same is true in this action for the former members associated with the Plaintiffs did not have the privileges, they were only a part, not a whole.

Another argument asserted by the Defendant was that the Defendant was the client since it was the Plaintiff and therefore it and the Plaintiffs share the privileges. The Defendant relied upon the analysis applicable to multi-party representation. I find this not to be applicable. Mr. Runyan's Affidavit identifies his clients and his two clients are the Plaintiffs. He does not, in his Affidavit, state at any time that he represented the Defendant.

It is the obligation of this Court to first determine the question of privilege and such must be done without first requiring disclosure of the substance of the communication. State vs.

Doster, supra at 220. The essential elements given rise to the privilege are the following:

"(1) Where legal advice of any kind is sought (2) from a professional legal adviser in his capacity as such (3) the communications relating to that purpose (4) made in confidence (5) by the client (6) are at his instance permanently protected (7) from disclosure by himself or by the legal adviser (8) except the protection may be waived." State vs. Doster, supra at 219-220.

After analysis of the above elements along with the information presented to this Court, I find that the documentation sought by the Defendant is subject to the attorney-client privilege and attorney-work product privilege, therefore, the Defendant's Motion is denied.

If the Defendant requests an in-camera inspection of the documents identified in the Plaintiffs' privilege log to confirm that the documents are indeed subject to the privileges, then the Defendant, with the consent of the Plaintiffs, must contract with a neutral South Carolina lawyer to act as a Special Referee. The scope of the Special Referee's duties shall be to review all the documents identified in the Plaintiffs' privilege log for the purpose of determining whether each document consists of information subject to attorney-client privilege or attorney-

work product including attorney's mental impressions and thoughts, as well as communications

from management to the attorney. The Defendant shall bear the costs of the Special Referee

unless the Special Referee discovers that at least ten (10%) percent of the documents in the

privileged log may not be subject to any privilege and, in such event, the Special Referee's costs

and charges shall be borne fifty (50%) percent by the Defendant and fifty (50%) percent by the

Plaintiffs. In the event the Special Referee discovers that some documents may not be subject to

any privilege, then the Special Referee shall present these documents, in a sealed envelope, to

this Court for the Court to make a final determination as to the production of the documents.

To commence this process of an independent inspection, the Defendant, within seven (7)

days from the date of this Order, shall contact Plaintiffs' counsel and then within five (5) days

thereafter the attorneys must agree upon a Special Referee. The documents identified in the

privileged log must be made available to the Special Referee as soon as possible, but in no event

later than ten (10) days following the selection of the Special Referee. The Special Referee shall

then have twenty (20) days to review the documents and report to this Court, if necessary, any

documents that may not be subject to any privileges.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: Nov. 7, 7013

Diane S. Goodstein

Circuit Court Judge

First Judicial Circuit

Page 5 of 5

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY Court of Common Pleas

Diane S. Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2013-CP-18-00013

The Protestant Episcopal Church In The Diocese Of South Carolina, et al., Respondents,

V.:

The Episcopal Church, et al.

Appellants.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served The Episcopal Church in South Carolina's ("TECSC") Notice of Appeal on all counsel of record by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on January 13, 2014.

January 13, 2014

Ann Skipper Ballenger

Paralegal to Thomas S. Tisdale, Jr., Esq.,

and Jason S. Smith, Esq.

HELLMAN YATES & TISDALE, PA

145 King Street, Suite 102

Charleston, South Carolina 29401

Telephone: (843) 266-9099 Facsimile: (843) 266-9188 asb@hellmanyates.com